Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/669,682	MATSUURA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

T	ARA L. MAYO	3671		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 19 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advino event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection. FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding amount or rtened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensi Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a		
	nuionto the data of filing a buist	will not be obtained because		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but They raise new issues that would require further consi They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	deration and/or search (see NOT			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying the issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a cor NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116		cted claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _	<u>.</u>			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a lentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	rcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea nd was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fails to provide a see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but d	oes NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:				
	/TARA L MAYO/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3671		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 1 and 12 require further consideration and search. Specifically, the newly proposed amendments require the plate to be parallel to the susbtantially vertical side surface of the floating body and the top of the plate to be parallel with the bottom of the floating body, respectively. These limitations were not previously presented during prosecution. Furthermore, the Examiner has not responded to Applicant's arguments because they are directed to the proposed claim amendments which will not be entered.